arXiv:1107.1051v1 [math.AC] 6 Jul 2011

ON STABLY FREE MODULES OVER AFFINE ALGEBRAS

JEAN FASEL, RAVI A. RAO, AND RICHARD G. SWAN

Abstract. It is proved that if $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is a smooth affine threefold over a field k of characteristic different from 2 then the elementary symplectic Witt group $\mathrm{W}_E(R)$ has a nice cohomological description as $\mathrm{H}^2(X, K_3)$. Using Bloch-Kato for K_1, K_2 it is shown that this is a divisible group prime to the characteristic. The following cancellation theorem is obtained from this result: If X is a non-singular affine variety of dimension d over an algebraically closed field k, and if $(d-1)! \in k^\times$ then any stably trivial vector bundle of rank $(d-1)$ over X is trivial. The hypothesis that X is non-singular can be weakened to X is normal if $d \geq 4$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
Conventions 3
2. Grothendieck-Witt groups 4
2.1. Preliminaries 4
2.2. Basic computations 4
3. The elementary symplectic Witt group 6
3.1. An exact sequence 6
4. Identification with $GW_1^3(R)$ 7
4.1. The group $GW_1^3(R)$ 7
4.2. The group $\mathrm{V}(R)$ 7
4.3. The isomorphism 8
5. The Gersten-Grothendieck-Witt spectral sequence 9
6. Divisibility of W_E 11
7. The Main Theorem 12
References 15

1. Introduction

Let R be an affine algebra over a field k of dimension d. Let P be a projective R-module of rank r.

A.A. Suslin has shown in [31],[30] that if $r = d$, and k is algebraically closed, then P is cancellative. In [33] he showed that if k is a perfect C_1-field (explained later in the text), and $r = d$, and P is stably free, then it is actually free. In [6]

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13C10; Secondary: 19G12, 19G38.

Key words and phrases. stably free modules, affine algebras, Grothendieck-Witt groups, symplectic Witt groups.

S.M. Bhatwadekar showed that a projective module P of rank d is cancellative, in this situation.

In [31] Suslin concludes by posing a few problems which he feels are of considerable interest. The third one asks for which m will each stably free R-module of rank $\geq m$ be free.

In the invited address on August 21, 1978, at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Helsinki, Finland, A.A. Suslin in [32] suggests that the bounds may not be the best possible in the situation of algebras over an algebraically closed field k. In this paper we prove that his surmise is right by showing that if $r = d - 1 > 0$, and P is stably free, then P is free.

This is the best possible expectation over algebraically closed fields. In [31] A.A. Suslin mentions that all stably free but not free modules known to him are of rank $\leq (\dim A - 1)/2$. In response to this, in [17] N. Mohan Kumar gave a method of constructing a non-free stably free projective module over the coordinate ring of certain basic open sets $D(f)$ of affine space $\mathbf{A}^d_k$, for $d \geq 4$, of rank $(d-1)$, if k is of transcendence degree one over an algebraically closed field. Clearing "denominators" he could get a smooth, rational affine variety of dimension d over an algebraically closed field and a rank $d-2$ stably free non-free projective module over it.

In [12] the first named author made the initial breakthrough to Suslin's question by showing that over a smooth threefold R, a stably free rank two projective module is free. One of the main ingredient of the proof was the use of a spectral sequence in Grothendieck-Witt groups (alias Hermitian K-theory) to compute the group $\tilde{K}_0Sp(R)$ in terms of cycles. Another key part used was to observe that over such an algebra R, $Um_4(R)/E_4Sp_4(R) = 0$ strengthening Suslin's theorem that $Um_4(R)/SL_4(R) = 0$.

We motivate our method of proof by recollecting: Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ be a unimodular row over R, where R is a reduced k-algebra of dimension d. In all situations below $r!R = R$.

- If k is algebraically closed, and $r = d+1$, then by Swan's Bertini theorem in [35] one can ensure, after an elementary transformation, that $R/(a_2, \ldots, a_{d+1})$ is a finite product of k. In this case the units of the quotient is a $d!$-divisible group. Thus, there is the (appropriate) factorial unimodular row in the elementary orbit of any given unimodular row. (This will be a strong motivation for us.)
- If R is a domain, and non-singular in codimension one, and k is a C_1-field and $r = d+1$, then by Swan's Bertini theorem one can ensure, after an elementary transformation, that $R/(a_3, \ldots, a_{d+1})$ is a non-singular curve over k. In this case Suslin showed in [33] that SK_1 of the quotient ring is $d!$-divisible.

Such divisibility properties are derived from the other main result of the paper: Let X be a regular one-dimensional irreducible scheme, $h\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ its generic point, and p a prime $\neq \operatorname{char}(k)$. Assume that the residue class fields of X of characteristic p are perfect. Then $SK_1(X)/pSK_1(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$NH^3_{\text{ét}}\left(X', \mu_p^{\otimes 2}\right) = \operatorname{Ker}\left(H^3_{\text{ét}}\left(X', \mu_p^{\otimes 2}\right) \xrightarrow{h^*} H^3_{\text{ét}}\left(F, \mu_p^{\otimes 2}\right)\right),$$

where $X' = X[1/p]$.

Classical (Artin, Grothendieck, Verdier) calculations of étale cohomology show, in certain circumstances, that $H^3_{\text{ét}}(X', \mu_p^{\otimes 2}) = 0$, and so one can deduce the p-divisibility of $SK_1(X)$.

In [24] it is shown that there is the (appropriate) factorial unimodular row in the elementary orbit of any given unimodular row in this situation too.

In ([33], Remark 1.2) Suslin indicates that his results can be generalized to higher dimensions via results of Merkurjev-Suslin in [19]. For curves, $SK_1(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^1(X, K_2)$. S. Bloch in [7] has shown that for any regular scheme X of finite type over a field we have an exact sequence

$$H^1(X, K_2) \longrightarrow H^1(X, K_2) \longrightarrow H^1(X, K_2/pK_2) \longrightarrow CH^2(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the first map is multiplication by $p \neq \mathrm{char}(k)$. Moreover in [19] it is shown that $H^1(X, K_2/pK_2)$ is isomorphic to $NH^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mu_p^{\otimes 2})$.

- When R is a non-singular affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k, g.c.d. $((d-1)!, \mathrm{char}(k)) = 1$, and $r = d$. In this case by Swan's Bertini theorem one can ensure after an elementary transformation, that $B = R/(a_4, \ldots, a_{d+1})$ is a non-singular three-fold over k. In this case the orbit space $Um_3(B)/E_3(B)$ can be identified with the elementary symplectic Witt group $W_E(B)$ by a result of Rao-van der Kallen in [25].

We follow a similar cohomological path: In Proposition 4.1 it is shown that the Gersten-Grothendieck-Witt spectral sequence yields a cohomological description of the Witt group by giving an isomorphism $W_E(B) \simeq H^2(X, K_3)$. Then in Proposition 5.1, using Bloch-Kato (for K_2 and K_1) and the Bloch-Ogus spectral sequence it is shown that the cohomology group $H^2(X, K_3)$ is divisible prime to the characteristic.

Using a general position argument one can relax the restriction that the affine algebra R is non-singular if $\dim(R) \geq 4$.

Interestingly, the work started by a different strategy. The original idea was to show that the injective stability estimates for a non-singular affine algebra R of dimension $d \geq 3$ over a perfect C_1 field, falls to size d, i.e. the canonical map $SL_d(R)/E_d(R) \longrightarrow SK_1(R)$ is an isomorphism; improving the result of Rao-van der Kallen in [25]. The results in this paper allows us to conclude this for a non-singular affine algebra over an algebraically closed field, with $d! \in k^*$. The idea for the general situation to do this was to show that the relative Witt group $W_E(R[X], (X^2 - X))$ of a two dimensional non-singular algebra R over a perfect C_1-field was a divisible group prime to characteristic. This result was established if one uses Bloch-Kato for K_3. The details of this approach are being worked out, and we hope will appear elsewhere in [13]. As a consequence we hope to show that a stably free projective module of rank $d-1$ over a (non-singular) affine algebra of dimension d over an algebraically closed field, for which g.c.d. $((d-1)!, \mathrm{char}(k)) = 1$, has the cancellation property, if it has a unimodular element.

Conventions. Every ring is commutative with 1, any algebra is of finite type over some field. For any abelian group G and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\{n\}G$ the subgroup of n-torsion in G. All the fields considered are of characteristic different from 2. If X is a scheme and $x_p \in X^{(p)}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_p$ the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_p}$ and by $k(x_p)$ its residue field. Finally ω_{x_p} will denote the $k(x_p)$-vector space $\wedge^p(\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2)$ (which is one-dimensional if X is regular at x_p). If M and N are square matrices, we denote by $M \perp N$ the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$.

2. GROTHENDIECK-WITT GROUPS

2.1. **Preliminaries.**

In this section, we recall a few basic facts about Grothendieck-Witt groups. These are a modern version of Hermitian K-theory. The general reference here is the work of M. Schlichting ([28], [27]). Since we use those groups only for affine schemes, we restrict to this case.

Let R be a ring with $2 \in R^\times$. Let $\mathcal{P}(R)$ be the category of finitely generated projective R-modules and $Ch^b(R)$ be the category of bounded complexes of objects in $\mathcal{P}(R)$. It carries the structure of an exact category, by saying that an exact sequence of complexes is exact if it is exact in $\mathcal{P}(R)$ degreewise. For any line bundle L on R, the duality $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\_, L)$ on $\mathcal{P}(R)$ induces a duality $\sharp_L$ on $Ch^b(R)$ and the canonical identification of a projective module with its double dual gives a natural isomorphism of functors $\varpi_L : 1 \to \sharp_L\sharp_L$. One can also define a weak-equivalence in $Ch^b(R)$ to be a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. This shows that $(Ch^b(R), qis, \sharp_L, \varpi_L)$ is an *exact category with weak-equivalences and duality* in the sense of [27, §2.3] (see also [loc. cit., §6.1]). The translation functor $T : Ch^b(R) \to Ch^b(R)$ yields new dualities $\sharp_L^n := T^n \circ \sharp_L$ and canonical isomorphisms $\varpi_L^n := (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}\varpi_L$.

To any exact category with weak-equivalences and duality, Schlichting associates a space $\mathcal{GW}$ and defines the (higher) Grothendieck-Witt groups to be the homotopy groups of that space ([27, §2.11]). More precisely:

Definition 2.1. We denote by $GW_i^j(R, L)$ the group $\pi_i\mathcal{GW}(Ch^b(R), qis, \sharp_L^j, \varpi_L^j)$. If $L = R$, we simply put $GW_i^j(R) = GW_i^j(R, R)$.

Of course, the Grothendieck-Witt groups coincide with Hermitian K-theory ([15], [16]), at least when $2 \in R^\times$ ([28, remark 4.16], see also [14]). In particular, $GW_i^0(R) = K_iO(R)$ and $GW_i^2(R) = K_iSp(R)$. The twisted groups coincide with Karoubi U groups, i.e. $GW_i^1(R) = {}_{-1}U_i(R)$ and $GW_i^3(R) = U_i(R)$.

The basic tool in the study of Grothendieck-Witt groups is the *fundamental exact sequence* ([16], [14, Definition 3.6]; see also [11, Theorem 8]):

$$\begin{tikzcd} \ldots \arrow[r] & GW_i^j(R) \arrow[r, "f"] & K_i(R) \arrow[r, "H"] & GW_i^{j+1}(R) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & GW_{i-1}^j(R) \arrow[r, "f"] & K_{i-1}(R) \arrow[r] & \ldots \end{tikzcd}$$

The fundamental exact sequence ends with Witt groups as defined by Balmer ([2]), see [28, Lemma 4.13] or [11, Theorem 9]:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \ldots \arrow[r] & GW^i(R) \arrow[r] & K_0(R) \arrow[r] & GW^{i+1}(R) \arrow[r] & W^{i+1}(R) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

The homomorphism f is called the *forgetful* homomorphism and the homomorphism H is called the *hyperbolic* homomorphism. The object of the next section is to give some basic computations of Grothendieck-Witt groups of fields that will be used later.

2.2. **Basic computations.**

Lemma 2.2. *The groups* $GW_i^{i+1}(F) = 0$ *for* $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. First observe that by definition $GW_2^2(F) = K_2Sp(F)$. Recall from [34, Corollary 6.4] that there is a homomorphism $K_2Sp(F) \to I^2(F)$ such that the following diagram is a fibre product:

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_2Sp(F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & I^2(F) \arrow[d] \\ K_2(F) \arrow[r] & I^2(F)/I^3(F). \end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that the natural map $GW_2^2(F) \to K_2(F)$ is surjective and the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} GW_2^2(F) \arrow[r] & K_2(F) \arrow[r] & GW_2^3(F) \arrow[r] & GW_1^2(F) \end{tikzcd}$$

shows that it suffices to prove that $GW_1^2(F) = 0$ to have $GW_2^3(F) = 0$. Since there is a fibre product ([4, Corollary 4.5.1.5])

$$\begin{tikzcd} GW_1^1(F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & I(F) \arrow[d] \\ K_1(F) \arrow[r] & I(F)/I^2(F). \end{tikzcd}$$

the same argument shows that it suffices to prove that $GW_0^1(F) = 0$. But this is clear since the sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} GW(F) \arrow[r] & K_0(F) \arrow[r] & GW_0^1(F) \arrow[r] & W^1(F) \end{tikzcd}$$

is exact and $W^1(F) = 0$ ([3, Proposition 5.2]). □

Lemma 2.3. *The hyperbolic functor* $K_i(F) \to GW_i^{i+2}(F)$ *induces an isomorphism for* $i = 0, 1$.

Proof. This is obvious and well known for $GW^2(F) = K_0Sp(F)$. For $GW_1^3(F)$, it suffices to use the fundamental exact sequence and Lemma 2.2 □

Lemma 2.4. *The forgetful functor induces surjections* $f : GW_i^{i-1}(F) \to \{2\}K_i(F)$ *for* $i = 1, 2$. *Moreover, if* F *is algebraically closed then* $f : GW_1^0(F) \to \{\pm 1\}$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For any field F, the fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} GW_i^{i-1}(F) \arrow[r] & K_1(F) \arrow[r] & GW_i^i(F) \end{tikzcd}$$

and the proof of Lemma 2.2 yield surjective homomorphisms

$$GW_i^{i-1}(F) \to \{2\}K_i(F)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose now that F is algebraically closed. The fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} GW_1^3(F) \arrow[r, "f"] & K_1(F) \arrow[r, "H"] & GW_1^0(F) \arrow[r] & GW^3(F) \arrow[r, "f"] & K_0(F) \arrow[r, "H"] & GW(F) \end{tikzcd}$$

and Lemma 2.3 give an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & K_1(F)/2 \arrow[r] & GW_1^0(F) \arrow[r] & GW^3(F) \arrow[r] & 0\ . \end{tikzcd}$$

Since F is algebraically closed, the left term is trivial. Now $GW^3(F) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ by [10, Lemma 4.1]. □

3. The elementary symplectic Witt group

Let R be a ring (with $2 \in R^\times$). We first briefly recall the definition of the elementary symplectic Witt group. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S'_{2n}(R)$ be the set of antisymmetric matrices in $GL_{2n}(R)$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, Let ψ_{2r} be the matrix defined inductively by

$$\psi_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\psi_{2r} := \psi_2 \perp \psi_{2r-2}$. Observe that $\psi_{2r} \subset S'_{2r}$. For any $m < n$, there is an obvious inclusion of $S'_{2m}(R)$ in $S'_{2n}(R)$ defined by $G \mapsto G \perp \psi_{2n-2m}$. We define $S'(R)$ as the set $\cup S'_{2n}(R)$. There is an equivalence relation on $S'(R)$ defined as follows:

If $G \in S'_{2n}(R)$ and $G' \in S'_{2m}(R)$, then $G \sim G'$ if and only if there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \in E_{2(m+n+t)}(R)$ such that

$$G \perp \psi_{2(m+t)} = E^t (G' \perp \psi_{2(n+t)}) E.$$

Observe that $S'(R)/\sim$ has a structure of an abelian group, with $\perp$ as operation and ψ_2 as neutral element. One can also consider the subsets $S_{2n}(R) \subset S'_{2n}(R)$ of invertible antisymmetric matrices with Pfaffian equal to 1. If $S(R) := \cup S_{2n}(R)$, it is easy to see that $\sim$ induces an equivalence relation on $S(R)$ and that $S(R)/\sim$ is also a group.

Definition 3.1. We denote by $W'_E(R)$ the group $S'(R)/\sim$ and by $W_E(R)$ the group $S(R)/\sim$. The latter is called *elementary symplectic Witt group.*

3.1. **An exact sequence.** In this section, we prove a few basic facts about the elementary symplectic Witt group and obtain a useful exact sequence (see [12, §2]). If G is an antisymmetric invertible matrix of size $2n$, it can be seen as an antisymmetric form on R^{2n}. This yields a map $\varphi_{2n} : S'_{2n} \to \widetilde{K}_0Sp(R)$, where the latter is the reduced symplectic K_0 of the ring R. This map passes to the limit and preserves the equivalence relation $\sim$. Hence we get a homomorphism

$$\varphi : W'_E(R) \to \widetilde{K}_0Sp(R).$$

We define a map

$$\eta_{2n} : GL_{2n} \to S'$$

by $\eta_{2n}(G) = G^t \psi_{2n} G$ for any $G \in GL_{2n}(R)$, and a map

$$\eta_{2n+1} : GL_{2n+1}(R) \to S'$$

by $\eta_{2n+1}(G) = (G \perp 1)^t \psi_{2(n+1)} (G \perp 1)$ for any $G \in GL_{2n+1}(R)$. Those maps obviously pass to the limit, and we obtain a map $\eta : GL(R) \to W'_E(R)$ after composing with the projection $S' \to W'_E(R)$. Using Whitehead Lemma ([21, proof of Lemma 2.5]), it is not hard to see that η induces a homomorphism

$$\eta : K_1(R) \to W'_E(R).$$

Proposition 3.2. *There is an exact sequence*

$$K_1Sp(R) \xrightarrow{f'} K_1(R) \xrightarrow{\eta} W'_E(R) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \widetilde{K}_0Sp(R) \xrightarrow{f} \widetilde{K}_0(R).$$

where f' is the homomorphism induced by $Sp_{2n} \subset SL_{2n}$ for any n, f is the forgetful homomorphism and $\widetilde{K}_0(R)$ is the reduced K_0 of R.

Proof. First, a straightforward computation shows that this sequence is a complex. Now we check that it is exact.

It is well known that any element of $\widetilde{K}_0Sp(R)$ is of the form $[P,\phi]$ for some projective module P and some antisymmetric form $\phi : P \to P^\vee$ ([5, Proposition 2]). But $f(P,\phi) = 0$ if and only if P is stably free and adding a suitable $H(R^s)$ we see that P is given by an antisymmetric matrix on some R^{2n}. Let M be an (invertible) antisymmetric matrix such that $\varphi(M) = 0$. Adding if necessary some multiple of ψ_2, we have $M = G^t\psi_{2n}G$ for some n. Therefore $M = \eta(G)$. If $\eta(G) = 0$, we can suppose that $G \in GL_{2n}(R)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the triviality of $\eta(G)$ is expressed as $G^t\psi_{2n}G \sim \psi_2$. This translates as

$$\psi_{2(n+s)} = E^t((G^t\psi_{2n}G)\perp\psi_{2s})E = E^t((G\perp I_{2s})^t\psi_{2(n+s)}(G\perp I_{2s}))E$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $E \in E_{2(n+s)}(R)$. Therefore $(M\perp I_{2s})E \in Sp_{2(n+s)}(R)$. □

4. Identification with $GW_1^3(R)$

4.1. **The group $GW_1^3(R)$.** Recall from Section 2.1 that $GW_1^3(R)$ is defined to be the group $\pi_1\mathcal{GW}(Ch^b(R), qis, \sharp^3, \varpi^3)$.

Consider the category $\mathcal{SF}(R)$ of stably-free R-modules of finite rank, and the category $Ch^b_{\mathrm{sf}}(R)$ of bounded complexes of objects of $\mathcal{SF}(R)$. Restricting the duality, the canonical isomorphism and the quasi-isomorphisms to $Ch^b_{\mathrm{sf}}(R)$, we see that $Ch^b_{\mathrm{sf}}(R)$ is also an exact category with weak-equivalences and duality. If we denote by $GW^3_{1,\mathrm{sf}}(R)$ the Grothendieck-Witt group obtained from this category, then the natural map $Ch^b_{\mathrm{sf}}(R) \to Ch^b(R)$ induces an isomorphism

$$GW^3_{1,\mathrm{sf}}(R) \to GW^3_1(R)$$

by [27, Theorem 4.10].

Recall moreover that the group $GW_1^3(R)$ fits in the fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_1Sp(R) \arrow[r, "f'"] & K_1(R) \arrow[r, "H"] & GW_1^3(R) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & K_0Sp(R) \arrow[r, "f"] & K_0(R) \end{tikzcd}$$

which gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_1Sp(R) \arrow[r, "f'"] & K_1(R) \arrow[r, "H"] & GW_1^3(R) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & \widetilde{K}_0Sp(R) \arrow[r, "f"] & \widetilde{K}_0(R) \end{tikzcd}$$

4.2. **The group $\mathbf{V}(R)$.** Consider the set of triples (L, f_0, f_1), where L is a stably free module and $f_i : L \simeq L^\vee$ are antisymmetric isomorphisms ($i = 0, 1$). Two triples (L, f_0, f_1) and (L', f_0', f_1') are isometric if there exists an isomorphism $\alpha : L \to L'$ such that $f_i = \alpha^\vee f_i'\alpha$ for $i = 0, 1$. We denote by $[L, f_0, f_1]$ the isometry class of a triple (L, f_0, f_1). The orthogonal sum

$$[L, f_0, f_1]\perp[L', f_0', f_1'] := [L \oplus L', f_0\perp f_0', f_1\perp f_1']$$

endows the set $\mathrm{MV}'(R)$ of isometry classes of triples $[L, f_0, f_1]$ with a structure of a monoid and we can consider its Grothendieck group $V'(R)$. We denote by $V(R)$ the quotient of $V'(R)$ by the subgroup generated by the relations

$$[L, f_0, f_1] + [L, f_1, f_2] - [L, f_0, f_2].$$

The group $V(R)$ is naturally isomorphic to $GW^3_{1,\mathrm{sf}}(R)$ by [28], and the latter is isomorphic to $GW_1^3(R)$ by the above section.

4.3. **The isomorphism.** Let (L, f_0, f_1) be a triple as in the above section, with L free. Choose an isomorphism $g : R^{2n} \to L$ and consider the isomorphism

$$(g^\vee f_1 g)\perp(-g^\vee f_0 g)^{-1} : R^{2n} \oplus (R^{2n})^\vee \to (R^{2n})^\vee \oplus R^{2n}.$$

It is clearly antisymmetric and we can consider its class in $W'_E(R)$.

Lemma 4.1. *The class of $(g^\vee f_1 g)\perp(-g^\vee f_0 g)^{-1}$ in $W'_E(R)$ does not depend on g.*

Proof. If $h : R^{2n} \to L$ is another isomorphism, then

$$(g^\vee f_1 g)\perp(-g^\vee f_0 g)^{-1} = [(h^{-1}g)^\vee \perp (g^{-1}h)][(h^\vee f_1 h)\perp(-h^\vee f_0 h)^{-1}][(h^{-1}g)\perp(g^{-1}h)^\vee].$$

It is then sufficient to prove that the conjugation by $g\perp(g^{-1})^\vee$ is trivial in $W'_E(R)$. This follows from [4, Lemma 4.1.3]. □

We set $\zeta(L, f_0, f_1)$ to be the class of $(g^\vee f_1 g)\perp(-g^\vee f_0 g)^{-1}$ in $W'_E(R)$ for any isomorphism $g : R^{2n} \to L$. Next we deal with isometries:

Lemma 4.2. *If (L, f_0, f_1) and (L', f'_0, f'_1) are isometric, then we have an equality $\zeta(L, f_0, f_1) = \zeta(L', f'_0, f'_1)$ in $W'_E(R)$.*

Proof. If $g : R^{2n} \to L$ is an isomorphism, and $\alpha : L \to L'$ is an isometry then $\alpha g : R^{2n} \to L'$ is an isomorphism and the result follows from $\alpha^\vee f'_i \alpha = f_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. □

Hence we see that the class $\zeta([L, f_0, f_1])$ is well defined. It remains to define ζ when L is not free. Since L is stably free, there exists A^{2r} such that $L \oplus A^{2r}$ is free. If $f_i : L \to L^\vee$ is an isomorphism, then we can consider $f_i \perp \psi_{2r}$ as an antisymmetric form on $L \oplus A^{2r}$. We set $\zeta([L, f_0, f_1]) := \zeta([L \oplus A^{2r}, f_0\perp\psi_{2r}, f_1\perp\psi_{2r}])$.

Lemma 4.3. *The map*

$$\zeta : V'(R) \to W'_E(R)$$

is a well defined homomorphism.

Proof. Suppose that L is stably free and that $L \oplus A^{2r}$ is free. If $L \oplus A^{2s}$ is also free, we can suppose that $s > r$ because of Lemma 4.1. If $g : R^{2n} \to L \oplus A^{2r}$ is an isomorphism, then we can take $g\perp I_{2(s-r)} : R^{2(n+s-r)} \to L\oplus A^{2s}$ as the isomorphism used to define $\zeta([L \oplus A^{2s}, f_0\perp\psi_{2s}, f_1\perp\psi_{2s}])$. It is then immediate to see that

$$\zeta([L \oplus A^{2s}, f_0\perp\psi_{2s}, f_1\perp\psi_{2s}]) = \zeta([L \oplus A^{2r}, f_0\perp\psi_{2r}, f_1\perp\psi_{2r}])$$

in $W'_E(R)$. The fact that ζ is a homomorphism follows directly from the definitions. □

Finally:

Proposition 4.4. *The homomorphism $\zeta : V'(R) \to W'_E(R)$ induces a homomorphism*

$$\zeta : V(R) \to W'_E(R).$$

Proof. By definition of ζ, it suffices to check that

$$\zeta([A^{2r}, f_0, f_1]) + \zeta([A^{2r}, f_1, f_2]) = \zeta([A^{2r}, f_0, f_2])$$

in $W'_E(R)$. But this is clear since the right hand term is equal to

$$f_1\perp - f_0^{-1}\perp f_2 \perp - f_1^{-1}$$

and $f_1\perp - f_1^{-1}$ is elementary equivalent to some ψ_{2n} by [4, Lemma 4.5.1.10]. □

It remains to prove that ζ is an isomorphism, but all the work is almost done.

Theorem 4.5. *Let R be a ring with $2 \in R^\times$. The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_1(R) \arrow[r, "H"] \arrow[d, equal] & V(R) \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "\zeta"'] & \widetilde{K}_0Sp(R) \arrow[d, equal] \\
K_1(R) \arrow[r, "\eta"'] & W'_E(R) \arrow[r, "\varphi"'] & \widetilde{K}_0Sp(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and ζ is an isomorphism.

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.2, it suffices to prove that the diagram commutes to prove that ζ is an isomorphism. Let $a \in K_1(R)$ be represented by a matrix $G \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(R)$. Then H is given by $H(a) = [A^{2n}, \psi_{2n}, G^\vee \psi_{2n} G]$ by [4, Proposition-Definition 4.5.1.3]. Since $-(\psi_{2n})^{-1} = \psi_{2n}$, the right square commutes. If $[L, f_0, f_1]$ is in $V(R)$, then $\delta([L, f_0, f_1]) = [L, f_1] - [L, f_0]$ in $\widetilde{K}_0Sp(R)$ ([4, §4.5.1]). Since $[L, f_0] = [L^\vee, f_0^{-1}]$, the right hand square also commutes. □

This theorem shows that $W'_E(R)$ inherits all the good properties of $GW_1^3(R)$. In particular, it is homotopy invariant if R is regular (and $2 \in R^\times$) and in that case $\mathrm{W}_E(R)$ is also homotopy invariant.

5. The Gersten-Grothendieck-Witt spectral sequence

Let X be a regular scheme. Recall from [11, Theorem 25] that the Gersten-Grothendieck-Witt spectral sequence is a spectral sequence $E(n)$ defined for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ converging to $GW^n_{n-*}(X)$ whose terms at page 1 are of the form (recall our conventions about ω_{x_p}):

$$E(n)_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x_p \in X^{(p)}} GW^{n-p}_{n-p-q}(k(x_p), \omega_{x_p})$$

By construction of the corresponding spectral sequences, the forgetful homomorphism and the hyperbolic homomorphism induce morphisms of spectral sequences between the Gersten-Grothendieck-Witt spectral sequence and the Brown-Gersten-Quillen spectral sequence in K-theory.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a smooth affine threefold over an algebraically closed field k. Then the Gersten-Grothendieck-Witt spectral sequence $E(3)^{p,q}$ yields an isomorphism*

$$W_E(X) \simeq H^2(X, K_3).$$

Proof. First remark that the line $q = 1$ is trivial by Lemma 2.2. The line $q = 2$ is as follows:

$$GW_1^3(k(X), \omega) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x_1 \in X^{(1)}} GW^2(k(x_1), \omega_{x_1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Lemma 2.3 shows that this is isomorphic, via H, to

$$K_1(k(X)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x_1 \in X^{(1)}} K_0(k(x_1)) \longrightarrow 0$$

whose homology at degree 0 is just $\mathcal{O}_X(X)^\times$. Now the Pfaffian homomorphism $GW_1^3(X) \to \mathcal{O}_X(X)^\times$ is clearly split, and we see that the kernel of the edge homomorphism $GW_1^3(X) \to E(3)_\infty^{0,2}$ is precisely $W_E(X)$.

We now show that $E(3)_\infty^{2,0} \simeq H^2(X, K_3)$. But $E(3)_\infty^{2,0}$ is the homology of the complex

$$\bigoplus_{x_1 \in X^{(1)}} GW_2^2(k(x_1), \omega_{x_1}) \to \bigoplus_{x_2 \in X^{(2)}} GW_1^1(k(x_2), \omega_{x_2}) \to \bigoplus_{x_3 \in X^{(3)}} GW(k(x_1), \omega_{x_1}).$$

We use the forgetful functor to compare this sequence with the corresponding sequence

$$\bigoplus_{x_1 \in X^{(1)}} K_2(k(x_1)) \to \bigoplus_{x_2 \in X^{(2)}} K_1(k(x_2)) \to \bigoplus_{x_3 \in X^{(3)}} K_0(k(x_1))$$

in K-theory. After dévissage, the forgetful functor and the proof of Lemma 2.2 yield exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow I^{4-p}(F) \longrightarrow GW_{3-p}^{3-p}(F) \xrightarrow{f} K_{3-p}(F) \longrightarrow 0$$

for any field F and any $p = 1, 2, 3$. Now if $x_p \in X^{(p)}$, then $cd(k(x_p)) \leq 3 - p$ by [29, §4.2, Proposition 11]. Hence $H^{4-p}(k(x_p), \mu_2) = 0$ and the latter is isomorphic to $I^{4-p}(k(x_p))/I^{5-p}(k(x_p))$ by [22, Theorem 4.1] and [39, Theorem 7.4]. The Arason-Pfister Hauptsatz [1] then shows that $I^{4-p}(k(x_p)) = 0$. This yields the result.

Finally, we prove that $E(3)_\infty^{3,-1} = 0$. It suffices to show that the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{x_2 \in X^{(2)}} GW_2^1(k(x_2), \omega_{x_2}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x_3 \in X^{(3)}} GW_1^0(k(x_3), \omega_{x_3})$$

is trivial. Lemma 2.4 yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{x_2 \in X^{(2)}} GW_2^1(k(x_2), \omega_{x_2}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \bigoplus_{x_3 \in X^{(3)}} GW_1^0(k(x_3), \omega_{x_3}) \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\bigoplus_{x_2 \in X^{(2)}} \{2\}K_2(k(x_2)) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{x_3 \in X^{(3)}} \{2\}K_1(k(x_3))
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the left vertical map is surjective and the right vertical map is an isomorphism. Hence both sequences have the same cokernel. But now there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{x_2 \in X^{(2)}} K_1(k(x_2))/2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{x_3 \in X^{(3)}} K_0(k(x_3))/2 \arrow[d] \\
\bigoplus_{x_2 \in X^{(2)}} \{2\}K_2(k(x_2)) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{x_3 \in X^{(3)}} \{2\}K_1(k(x_3))
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the left vertical map is surjective and the right vertical map is an isomorphism. The cokernel of the above sequence is $CH^3(X)/2$ which is trivial by [9, Lemma 1.2]. Whence the result. $\square$

6. Divisibility of W_E

In this section, we prove that $W_E(R)$ is divisible for a smooth algebra R of dimension 3 over an algebraically closed field. Set $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. In view of Proposition 5.1, it suffices to prove that $H^2(X, K_3)$ is divisible. The idea is to use Bloch-Kato (for K_2 and K_1) and the Bloch-Ogus spectral sequence.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a smooth affine threefold over an algebraically closed field k. Then $H^2(X, K_3)$ is divisible prime to* $\mathrm{char}(k)$.

Proof. Let l be a prime number different from $\mathrm{char}(k)$. Consider the exact sequences of sheaves (see [7, proof of Corollary 1.11] for instance)

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \{l^n\}K_3 \arrow[r] & K_3 \arrow[r] & l^nK_3 \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & l^nK_3 \arrow[r] & K_3 \arrow[r] & K_3/l^nK_3 \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $K_0(F) = \mathbb{Z}$ for any field F, we get $H^3(X, \{l^n\}K_3) = 0$. This yields the following diagram where the line and the column are exact:

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^2(X, K_3) \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, dashed, "l^n"'] & H^2(X, l^nK_3) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\ & H^2(X, K_3) \arrow[d] & \\ & H^2(X, K_3/l^nK_3) & \end{tikzcd}$$

It suffices then to prove that $H^2(X, K_3/l^nK_3) = 0$ to show the divisibility by l^n.

For any $q, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}^q(m)$ be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^q_{et}(U, \mu_{l^n}^{\otimes m}).$$

The Bloch-Ogus spectral sequence ([8]) converges to the étale cohomology groups $H^*_{et}(X, \mu_{l^n}^{\otimes m})$ and its groups at page 2 are the groups $H^p_{Zar}(X, \mathcal{H}^q(m))$. These are computed via the Gersten complex

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^q(k(X), \mu_{l^n}^{\otimes m}) \arrow[r, "d_0"] & \bigoplus_{x_1 \in X^{(1)}} H^{q-1}(k(x_1), \mu_{l^n}^{\otimes m-1}) \arrow[r] & \dots \end{tikzcd}$$

Using again that $cd(k(x_p)) \leq 3 - p$ for any $x_p \in X^{(p)}$ ([29, §4.2, Proposition 11]), we get $H^p_{Zar}(X, \mathcal{H}^q(m)) = 0$ for any $q \geq 4$. Since X is affine, this implies $H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^3(m)) = H^5_{et}(X, \mu_{l^n}^{\otimes m}) = 0$ by [20, Chapter VI, Theorem 7.2].

Now there is a commutative diagram ([7, Theorem 2.3])

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{x_1\in X^{(1)}} K_2(k(x_1))/l^n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{x_2\in X^{(2)}} K_1(k(x_2))/l^n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{x_3\in X^{(3)}} K_0(k(x_3))/l^n \arrow[d] \\
\bigoplus_{x_1\in X^{(1)}} H^2(k(x_1),\mu_{l^n}^{\otimes 2}) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{x_2\in X^{(2)}} H^1(k(x_2),\mu_{l^n}) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{x_3\in X^{(3)}} H^0(k(x_3),\mathbb{Z}/l^n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose vertical maps are isomorphisms ([19]). This gives

$$H^2(X,K_3/l^n)=H^2(X,\mathcal{H}^3(3))=0.$$

Whence the result. □

7. The Main Theorem

In this section we prove that a stably free module of rank $(d-1)$ over a d dimensional affine algebra R over an algebraically closed field k, for which g.c.d. $((d-1)!,\mathrm{char}(k))=1$, is a free module.

We first recall a lemma and a theorem of L.N. Vaserstein ([37, Corollary 2]).

Lemma 7.1. *Let $(a_1,a_2,a_3)\in\mathrm{Um}_3(R)$, $u\in R$, and $Ra_1+Ru=R$. Then the rows (a_1,a_2,a_3) and (a_1,ua_2,ua_3) are in the same elementary orbit.*

Consequently, by Whitehead's lemma the rows (a_1,ua_2,ua_3) and (a_1,a_2,u^2a_3) can be seen to be in the same elementary orbit. In particular, if -1 is a square in R, then $(-a_1,a_2,a_3)$ and (a_1,a_2,a_3) are in the same elementary orbit.

Theorem 7.2. *Let R be a three dimensional non-singular affine algebra over a C_1 field k which is perfect if the characteristic is 2 or 3. Then the Vaserstein symbol $V:Um_3(R)/E_3(R)\longrightarrow W_E(R)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. See [25, Corollary 3.5]. □

The isomorphism gives rise to an abelian groups structure on the orbit space $Um_3(R)/E_3(R)$, when R is as above.

We recollect a few facts about the groups structure in a Witt group: Given $v=(v_0,v_1,v_2)$, $w=(w_0,w_1,w_2)\in Um_3(R)$, with $\langle v,w\rangle=1$, we shall denote by $V(v,w)$ the class of the 4×4 alternating matrix of Pfaffian $\langle v,w\rangle(=1)$, viz.

$$V(v,w) \quad = \quad \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_0 & v_1 & v_2 \\ -v_0 & 0 & w_2 & -w_1 \\ -v_1 & -w_2 & 0 & w_0 \\ -v_2 & w_1 & -w_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right].$$

For simplicity, we may just write $V(v)$, as the class of $V(v,w)$ does not depend on the choice of w such that $\langle v,w\rangle=1$.

(i) (**Vaserstein's rule**) ([38, Theorem 5.2 (iii)])

$$V(a,b,c)\perp V(a,x,y) \quad = \quad V(a,(b,c)\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y' & x\end{pmatrix}),$$

where $xx'+yy'=1$ mod (a).

(ii) We give van der Kallen's formula for the product in the group, which he established in $Um_3(R)/E_3(R)$, when R is a two dimensional ring. His proof was based on the Vaserstein rule as described in [36, §3.7]; and so also holds in $W_E(R)$ (with no restriction on the dimension)

$$V(a,b,c) \perp V(x,b,c) \quad = \quad V(a(x+a'),b,c),$$

when $aa' + bb' + cc' = 1$.

(iii)

$$V(a,b,c)^{-1} \quad = \quad V(-a',-b',-c'),$$

where $aa' + bb' + cc' = 1$. In particular, via [26, Lemma 1], it follows that

$$V(a,b,c)^{-1} \quad = \quad V(-a',b,c),$$

(iv) W. van der Kallen in [36, Theorem 3.16 (iii)] has given a formula for the group structure when you multiply by a vector which has a square coordinate, in $Um_3(R)/E_3(R)$, for a two dimensional R. The same formula (and proof) works in $W_E(R)$, as it is based on van der Kallen's formula for the inverse; which holds due to the Vaserstein rule.

$$V(ab^2,c,d) \quad = \quad V(a,c,d) \perp V(b^2,c,d)$$

(v) Following L.N. Vaserstein in [37] we let $\chi_n([v])$ denote the equivalence class of the vector (v_1^n, v_2, v_3). This is well defined according to L.N. Vaserstein [37].

We now recall the 'Antipodal lemma' of Rao in [23, Lemma 1.3.1] which relates $[v]^n$ and $[\chi_n([v])]$. The proof of this lemma is based on the formulae (ii)-(iv) and so also holds in $W_E(R)$, with no restriction on the dimension of R:

$$V(a,b,c) \perp V(a,b,c) \perp \cdots \perp V(a,b,c) \quad = \quad V(a^n,b,c)$$

(where the $V(a,b,c)$ is added n times) **provided** (a,b,c) and $(-a,b,c)$ are in the same elementary orbit. Observe that this holds in particular if -1 is a square in R via Lemma 7.1.

Theorem 7.3. *Let R be a d-dimensional non-singular affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k. Assume that g.c.d. $((d-1)!, \mathrm{char}(k)) = 1$. Then any unimodular row $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1})$ of length d over R can be elementarily transformed to a vector of the form $(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}^{(d-1)!})$, and so is completable to an invertible matrix.*

Proof. By Swan's Bertini theorem in [35] we may assume, after an elementary transformation, that $B = R/(a_3, \ldots, a_{d-1})$ is a non-singular affine algebra of a smooth threefold.

The orbit space $Um_3(B)/E_3(B)$ can be identified with $W_E(B)$ by Theorem 7.2.

By Theorem 6.1 the group $W_E(B)$ is divisible prime to the characteristic. Hence, we can extract $(d-1)!$-roots in this group, in view of the hypothesis.

But by Antipodal Property 'a power is the same as a coordinate power' in this group; whence the result via Suslin's theorem in [31]. □

Corollary 7.4. *Let R be a d dimensional affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k with $d \geq 4$. Assume that g.c.d. $((d-1)!, \mathrm{char}(k)) = 1$. Then any unimodular row $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1})$ of length d over R which is e_1 modulo J, where J is the ideal* *of the singular locus of R, can be elementarily transformed to a row of the form $(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}^{(d-1)!})$, and so is completable to an invertible matrix. In particular, if R is normal of dimension d then every unimodular row of length d can be elementarily transformed to a row of the form $(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}^{(d-1)!})$.*

Proof. In view of [26, Theorem 2] we may assume that R is a reduced ring. By Swan's Bertini theorem as stated in [18] we may assume that, after adding a general linear combination of a_i, $d-3 \leq i \leq d-1$ to $a_0, \dots, a_{d-4}$, $R/(a_0, \dots, a_{d-4})$ is still a non-singular threefold outside the singular locus of R. But since a_0 is 1 modulo J, this will mean that $R/(a_0, \dots, a_{d-4})$ is a non-singular threefold.

Now we revert back to the argument used in Theorem 7.3 to complete the assertion.

If R is normal, it is regular in codimension one, and so height $(J) \geq 2$. Then $Um_d(R/J) = e_1 E_d(R/J)$. Hence, we can assume, after an elementary transformation, that $a_0 = 1$ modulo (J), and $a_i \in J$, for $i \geq 1$. Now we are in the previous situation. □

Corollary 7.5. *Let R be a non-singular affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k of dimension d. Assume that $d!k = k$. Then any $\sigma \in SL_d(R) \cap E_{d+1}(R)$ is homotopic to the identity; whence an elementary matrix.*

Proof. Let $\alpha(X)$ be a homotopy of $1 \perp \sigma$ with the identity matrix. Then

$$e_1\alpha(X) \in Um_{d+1}(R[X], (X^2 - X)).$$

Let $t = (X^2 - X)$. We show that $e_1\alpha(X)$ can be completed to an invertible matrix which is congruent to identity modulo $(X^2 - X)$. This will suffice to prove the result.

We argue as in [25, Proposition 3.3]. Let $B = R[X, T]/(T^2 - Tt)$, and let $e_1\alpha(X) = e_1 + (X^2 - X)w(X) := v(X)$, with $w(X) \in R[X]^{d+1}$. Lift it to an element $u(T) = e_1 + Tw(X) \in Um_{d+1}(B)$. So $u(t) = v(X)$, and $u(0) = e_1$. Since R is non-singular the ideal of the singular locus of B is $(T, (X^2 - X))$. By Theorem 7.3, $u(T)\varepsilon(T)$ is a $d!$-factorial row over B, for some $\varepsilon(T) \in E_{d+1}(B)$. By [25, Proposition 3.3] or [24, Proposition 5.4], there is a $\delta(T) \in SL_{d+1}(B, (t))$ such that $u(T)\varepsilon(T) = e_1\delta(T)$. Let $\beta(T) = \varepsilon(T)\delta(T)^{-1}$. Then $\beta(0)^{-1}\beta(t)$ is a completion of v which is congruent to identity modulo (t).

The last assertion is clear from T. Vorst's theorem in [40]. □

Corollary 7.6. *Let R be a non-singular affine algebra over the algebraic closure k of a finite field of dimension $d \geq 3$. Assume that $d!k = k$. Then the natural map*

$$SL_d(R)/E_d(R) \quad \longrightarrow \quad SK_1(R)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The surjectivity follows from L.N. Vaserstein's theorem in [38, Corollary 17.3] that the equality $Um_n(R) = e_1 E_n(R)$ holds for $n \geq d$. The injectivity is a follows from Corollary 7.5. □

Corollary 7.7. *Let R be a non-singular affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k of dimension $d \leq 4$. Assume that $d!k = k$. Then the Vaserstein symbol*

$$V : Um_3(R)/E_3(R) \quad \longrightarrow \quad W_E(R)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. L.N. Vaserstein in [38, Theorem 5.2(c)] has shown that V is surjective. Apply [38, Lemma 5.1] to conclude that V is injective as $SL_4(R) \cap E(R) = E_4(R)$ in view of Corollary 7.5. □

References

[1] Jón Kristinn Arason and Albrecht Pfister. Beweis des Krullschen Durchschnittsatzes für den Wittring. *Invent. Math.*, 12:173–176, 1971.

[2] Paul Balmer. An introduction to triangular Witt groups and a survey of applications. In *Algebraic and arithmetic theory of quadratic forms*, volume 344 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 31–58. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[3] Paul Balmer and Charles Walter. A Gersten-Witt spectral sequence for regular schemes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 35(1):127–152, 2002.

[4] Jean Barge and Jean Lannes. *Suites de Sturm, indice de Maslov et périodicité de Bott*, volume 267 of *Progress in Mathematics.* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008.

[5] Hyman Bass. Libération des modules projectifs sur certains anneaux de polynômes. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, 26e année (1973/1974), Exp. No. 448*, pages 228–354. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 431. Springer, Berlin, 1975.

[6] S.M. Bhatwadekar. A cancellation theorem for projective modules over affine algebras over C_1-fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 1-3:17–26, 2003.

[7] S. Bloch. Torsion algebraic cycles, K_2, and Brauer groups of function fields. In *The Brauer group (Sem., Les Plans-sur-Bex, 1980)*, volume 844 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 75–102. Springer, Berlin, 1981.

[8] Spencer Bloch and Arthur Ogus. Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 7:181–201 (1975), 1974.

[9] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and C. Scheiderer. Zero-cycles and cohomology on real algebraic varieties. *Topology*, 35(2):533–559, 1996.

[10] J. Fasel and V. Srinivas. A vanishing theorem for oriented intersection multiplicities. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(3):447–458, 2008.

[11] J. Fasel and V. Srinivas. Chow-Witt groups and Grothendieck-Witt groups of regular schemes. *Adv. Math.*, 221(1):302–329, 2009.

[12] Jean Fasel. Stably free modules over smooth affine threefolds. arXiv:0911.3495, 2009.

[13] Jean Fasel, Ravi A. Rao, and Richard G. Swan. On some actions of stably elementary matrices on alternating matrices. In preparation, 2009.

[14] Jens Hornbostel. Constructions and dévissage in Hermitian K-theory. *K-Theory*, 26(2):139–170, 2002.

[15] Max Karoubi. Périodicité de la K-théorie hermitienne. In *Algebraic K-theory, III: Hermitian K-theory and geometric applications (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 301–411. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 343. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[16] Max Karoubi. Le théorème fondamental de la K-théorie hermitienne. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 112(2):259–282, 1980.

[17] N. Mohan Kumar. Stably free modules. *Amer. J. Math.*, 107(6):1439–1444, 1985.

[18] N. Mohan Kumar and M.P. Murthy. Algebraic cycles and vector bundles over affine threefolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 116(3):579–591, 1982.

[19] A. S. Merkur′ev and A. A. Suslin. K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 46(5):1011–1046, 1135–1136, 1982.

[20] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[21] John Milnor. *Introduction to algebraic K-theory.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72.

[22] D. Orlov, A. Vishik, and V. Voevodsky. An exact sequence for $K_*^M/2$ with applications to quadratic forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):1–13, 2007.

[23] R.A. Rao. The Bass-Quillen conjecture in dimension three but characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ via a question of A. Suslin. *Invent. Math.*, 93(3):609–618, 1988.

[24] R.A. Rao. A stably elementary homotopy. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137:3637–3645, 2009.

[25] Ravi A. Rao and Wilberd van der Kallen. Improved stability for SK_1 and WMS_d of a non-singular affine algebra. *Astérisque*, (226):11, 411–420, 1994. K-theory (Strasbourg, 1992).

[26] M. Roitman. On unimodular rows. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 95:184–188, 1985.

[27] Marco Schlichting. The Mayer-Vietoris principle for Grothendieck-Witt groups of schemes. To appear in *Invent. Math.*, 2009.

[28] Marco Schlichting. Hermitian K-theory of exact categories. *J. K-Theory*, 5(1):105–165, 2010.

[29] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cohomologie galoisienne*, volume 5 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edition, 1994.

[30] A.A. Suslin. A cancellation theorem for projective modules over algebras. (russian). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R.*, 236(4):808–811, 1977.

[31] A.A. Suslin. On stably free modules. *Math. U.S.S.R. Sbornik*, pages 479–491, 1977.

[32] A.A. Suslin. The cancellation problem for projective modules and related questions. (russian). In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978)*, Lecture Notes in Math., pages 323–330. Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki, (1980)., 1980.

[33] A.A. Suslin. Cancellation for affine varieties. (russian) modules and algebraic groups., journal = Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (L.O.M.I.), fjournal = . 114:187–195, 1982.

[34] A.A. Suslin. Torsion in K_2 of fields. *K-Theory*, 1(1):5–29, 1987.

[35] R.G. Swan. A cancellation theorem for projective modules in the metastable range. *Invent. Math.*, 27:23–43, 1974.

[36] W. van der Kallen. A group structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows. *J. Algebra*, 82(2):363–397, 1983.

[37] L.N. Vaserstein. Operations on orbits of unimodular vectors. *J. Algebra*, 100(2):456–461, 1986.

[38] L.N. Vaserstein and A.A. Suslin. Serre's problem on projective modules over polynomial rings, and algebraic k-theory. *Izv. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. Ser. Mat.*, 40(5):993–1054, 1976.

[39] Vladimir Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/2$-coefficients. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (98):59–104, 2003.

[40] Ton Vorst. The general linear group of polynomial rings over regular rings. *Comm. Algebra*, (9):499–509, 1981.

Jean Fasel,
Mathematisches Institut der Universität München,
Theresienstrasse 39,
D-80333 München, Germany
Jean Fasel <jean.fasel@gmail.com>

Ravi A. Rao,
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Navy Nagar,
Mumbai 400 005, India
Ravi A. Rao <ravi@math.tifr.res.in>

Richard G. Swan,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois, 60637, U.S.A.
Richard G. Swan <swan@math.uchicago.edu>